
Report To:	Inverclyde Integration Joint Board	Date:	12th September 2017
Report By:	Louise Long Corporate Director (Chief Officer) Inverclyde Health & Social Care Partnership	Report No:	IJB/33/2017/AP
Contact Officer:	Alan Puckrin Chief Financial Officer	Contact No:	01475 712722
Subject:	Proposed IJB Role in the Social Care 2018/20 Budget Process		

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek approval for the proposed process by which the IJB will participate in the development and approval of savings proposals relating to Social Care as part of Inverclyde Councils 2018/20 Budget process.

2.0 SUMMARY

- 2.1 Inverclyde Council has a well-established process for developing saving options for consideration as part of its annual budget process which involves discussions with Trade Unions and public consultation.
- 2.2 The creation of the Integration Joint Board adds a new dimension to this process as the Integration Joint Board requires to approve any savings which impact on the Social Care Budget whilst the Council determines the amount of funding it proposes to allocate to the IJB for the forthcoming year.
- 2.3 It is important that the budget processes for the IJB and the Council complement one another and that ultimately decisions reached have the support of both organisations. As such the Chief Officer (Inverclyde Health & Social Care Partnership) has developed a proposed process in consultation with Inverclyde Council's Chief Financial Officer and this is attached at Appendix 1.
- 2.4 Whilst there is no requirement for the IJB to adopt a similar approach in respect of potential Health savings, it is recognised that there is real value in the approach taken by the Council in terms of future public consultation.

3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 It is recommended that the Integration Joint Board approves the process outlined in Appendix 1 whereby the Council and the IJB can work together in the development and approval of Social Care savings for the forthcoming budget round.

**Alan Puckrin
Chief Financial Officer**

4.0 BACKGROUND

- 4.1 Inverclyde Council has for many years operated a budget process which works on the principles of multi-year budgeting and public consultation in respect of savings proposals.
- 4.2 The creation of Inverclyde Integration Joint Board has added a further dimension to this process given the role which the Integrated Joint Board has in respect of approving Social Care savings.
- 4.3 The Chief Officer (Inverclyde Integration Joint Board) has developed a process in tandem with the Chief Financial Officer (Inverclyde Council) whereby both organisations can be involved in the consideration of Social Care savings as part of the budget.
- 4.4 Inverclyde Council is at an advanced stage in terms of the development of savings options for consideration by the Council and the public consultation exercise which it intends to start in the middle of October and run to the end of November.

5.0 PROPOSALS

- 5.1 Appendix 1 provides a summary of the key dates and actions in relation to the Council and the IJB budget consideration process. On the assumption that the proposals in this report are adopted, then the next key date will be 21st September when the IJB will receive a briefing from relevant Officers on the high level saving options relating to Social Care.
- 5.2 Thereafter further detail can be discussed whilst the public consultation is ongoing and this is envisaged that the public consultation results will be reported to the IJB on 30th January.
- 5.3 Currently it is proposed that the IJB approves the 2018/19 Budget and the amounts to be delegated to the Council on 20th March. It should be noted that the Council intends to set a two year Revenue Budget on 22nd February and as part of that will determine the amounts it intends to allocate to the IJB for the next two years.
- 5.4 Whilst there is not a history of the Health Board engaging in public consultation on an annual savings exercise, the IJB is asked to consider whether such an approach would be of use in the future. Certainly the Council receives positive feedback from the public in respect of the exercise and in particular the public gains a better understanding of the real financial challenges facing the Council when the financial position, including pressures and savings options, is presented in totality. If such an approach was considered to be a possibility then the Chief Officer (Inverclyde Integration Joint Board) would discuss further with colleagues in NHS and bring proposals back to the next meeting of the IJB.

6.0 IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Finance

There are no specific financial implications arising from this report.

Financial Implications:

One off Costs

Cost Centre	Budget Heading	Budget Years	Proposed Spend this Report £000	Virement From	Other Comments
N/A					

Annually Recurring Costs/ (Savings)

Cost Centre	Budget Heading	With Effect from	Annual Net Impact £000	Virement From (If Applicable)	Other Comments
N/A					

6.2 **Legal**

The statutory role in respect of the IJB approving the saving proposals in relation to Social Care is fully recognised in the proposals within this report.

6.3 **Human Resources**

There are no direct HR implications arising from this report.

6.4 **Equalities**

Has an Equality Impact Assessment been carried out?

Individual savings will have individual equality impact assessments prepared but there are no direct equalities issues arising from this report.

	Yes	See attached appendix
x	No	This report does not introduce a new policy, function or strategy or recommend a change to an existing policy, function or strategy. Therefore, no Equality Impact Assessment is required.

6.4.1 **Not applicable.**

- a) How does this report address our Equality Outcomes?

Not applicable.

- b) People, including individuals from the protected characteristic groups, can access HSCP services.

Not applicable.

- c) Discrimination faced by people covered by the protected characteristics across HSCP services is reduced if not eliminated.

Not applicable.

- d) People with protected characteristics feel safe within their communities.

Not applicable.

- e) People with protected characteristics feel included in the planning and developing of services.

Not applicable.

- f) HSCP staff understand the needs of people with different protected characteristic and promote diversity in the work that they do.

Not applicable.

- g) Opportunities to support Learning Disability service users experiencing gender based violence

are maximised.

Not applicable.

- h) Positive attitudes towards the resettled refugee community in Inverclyde are promoted.

Not applicable.

CLINICAL OR CARE GOVERNANCE IMPLICATIONS

6.5 There are no clinical or care governance implications

NATIONAL WELLBEING OUTCOMES

How does this report support delivery of the National Wellbeing Outcomes?

6.6 Not applicable.

- a) People are able to look after and improve their own health and wellbeing and live in good health for longer.

Not applicable.

- b) People, including those with disabilities or long term conditions or who are frail are able to live, as far as reasonably practicable, independently and at home or in a homely setting in their community.

Not applicable.

- c) People who use health and social care services have positive experiences of those services, and have their dignity respected.

Not applicable.

- d) Health and social care services are centred on helping to maintain or improve the quality of life of people who use those services.

Not applicable.

- e) Health and social care services contribute to reducing health inequalities.

Not applicable.

- f) People who provide unpaid care are supported to look after their own health and wellbeing, including reducing any negative impact of their caring role on their own health and wellbeing.

Not applicable.

- g) People using health and social care services are safe from harm.

Not applicable.

- h) People who work in health and social care services feel engaged with the work they do and are supported to continuously improve the information, support, care and treatment they provide.

Not applicable.

- i) Resources are used effectively in the provision of Health and Social Care.

The proposed process will support considered decisions that secure the best outcomes for the finances available.

7.0 CONSULTATIONS

7.1 The proposals in this report are supported by the Council's Members Budget Working Group.

8.0 LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS

8.1 None.

Social Care 2018/20 Budget Process

- 1) The Integration Joint Board requires to formally approve any savings/adjustments which impact on the Social Care budget.
- 2) The Council will decide on 22nd February 2018 how much funding it is going to give to the IJB in 2018/19 plus an indicative figure for 2019/20. This figure will be based on Council consideration of Pressures, Savings and Adjustments plus any other matters which emerge from the Scottish Government Budget Settlement eg: further resources for Social Care Fund routed through Health.
- 3) Proposed process for Council and IJB:

<u>Action</u>	<u>When By</u>
a) Report to IJB outlining Council budget process	12 th September
b) MBWG review detailed Social Care Options	14 th September
c) Council papers recommending Consultation proposals printed	21 st September
d) IJB Briefing on high level Savings Options	21 st September
e) Employees/Organisations included in Savings Options briefed	21 st September
f) Council considers Budget Consultation	28 th September
g) Consultation starts	15 th October
h) Consultation ends	26 th November
i) Consultation results reported to Members	20 th December
j) IJB advised of Consultation results	30 th January
k) Council agrees contribution to IJB for 2018/20	22 nd February
l) IJB approves 2018/19 Budget and amount delegated budget to the Council	20 th March

- 4) It is envisaged that between December and February engagement may take place between the Council/IJB on the savings options to ensure that the final decision making process runs smoothly.

AP/CM
14/07/17